

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.    | FILING DATE                           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/071,225         | 02/11/2002                            | Thomas J. Coleman    | 278-101P-WLK            | 9263             |
| 7590 01/13/2005    |                                       |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| WILLIAM L. KLIMA   |                                       |                      | WEINSTEIN, STEVEN L     |                  |
|                    | NAL CORPORATION<br>RSON DAVIS HIGHWAY |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| STAFFORD, VA 22554 |                                       |                      | 1761                    |                  |
|                    |                                       |                      | DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Th

| Advisory Action | 10/071,225 | С |
|-----------------|------------|---|
|                 | Examiner   | A |

10/071,225COLEMAN ET AL.ExaminerArt UnitSteven L. Weinstein1761

Applicant(s)

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Application No.

THE REPLY FILED 30 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

| Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | -t-  |
|--|--|
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [che  | eck either a) or b)]   |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rej   |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action<br>event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MON<br>ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WIT<br>706.07(f).  | ITHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.   |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under eriod for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief m 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(c   | •  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  |  |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further consider  | eration and/or search (see NOTE below);  |
| (b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  |  |
| (c)  | orm for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the   |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a core  | responding number of finally rejected claims.  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _  | ·  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | able if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment  |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsiderable application in condition for allowance because:  | deration has been considered but does NOT place the  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly   |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) explanation of how the new or amended claims would be re-  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  | •  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected:   |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   | •  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or   | b) disapproved by the Examiner.  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PT   | O-1449) Paper No(s)  |
| 10. Other:   |  |
|  | StevenWeinstein  |
|  | STEVE WEINSTEIN 1761 PRIMARY EXAMINER  |

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/071,225

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: As noted above, the amendment raises new issues; e.g. "freely removable" -claim 1, "hinge"-claim 2, "side-by side"- claim 7, "two compartments"-claim 6, "oriented papallel"- claim8, are all new limitations, not previously recited which would require further consideration and/ or search...

Steven Weinstein STEVE WEINSTEIN PRIMARY EXAMINER 1761